

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Kenneth W. Rake 06/26/2001 IFLOW.2CP2F3C1 09/892,900 2814 **EXAMINER** 20995 7590 09/06/2005 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP REICHLE, KARIN M 2040 MAIN STREET **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614 3761

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			XF
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/892,900	RAKE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Karin M. Reichle	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a receptly within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communications (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status		•	
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition is in condition.	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matt		rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 14-17,19,23,24,30,33 and 36 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 14-17,19,23,24,30,33 and 36 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		h 45	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview :	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/19/05 has been entered.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Language Interpretation

3. It is noted that none of the claim language has been specifically defined. Therefore the terms of the claim will be given their common, i.e. dictionary, definition as is consistent with the specification. "Platen" as defined by the dictionary is "One of the two flat members of the printing press that serves to position the paper and hold it against the inked type". Since this is not consistent with the specification, "platen" will be interpreted as a member which is capable of exerting pressure. Figures 52-55 and page 49, lines 18-28 are deemed to provide support for the amendments to claims 14, 19, 23, 30, 33 and 36.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 14-17, 19, 23, 24, 30, 33 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bau '852.

See, e.g., Figures 2 and 4 and col. 5, lines 29-38: 3 and 7 or 2 and 3 or 2, 3 and 7 are threadably engaged shells of generally circular outer shape, "platen", see Claim Interpretation section supra, is 31 with nonplanar surface, adjacent 32, which is "complementary", i.e. defined as "forming or serving as a complement, completing", to inner surface of shell 7, adjacent 8, or the inner surface of 8, which shell and platen surfaces have a substantially planar central portion, e.g. adjacent 9 and 79, respectively, and a nonplanar annular portion, e.g. adjacent 8 and 31, respectively, and 78 is a spring fastened to shell 3. Also see col. 3, lines 20-23 of Bau, i.e. the space defined between the platen and the shell holds a fluid bag 25 therein. Note claim 24 with regard to this teaching also. With regard to limitation of the distance of the movement of the platen in a first direction being less than about one-fourth of a minimum dimension in a direction perpendicular to that direction such that the working length of the spring is less than a free length of the spring, compare Figures 2 and 4 which show the distance of movement less than a perpendicular dimension of the space holding the bag 25. With regard to the working length of the spring being less than a free length of the spring, see, e.g. col. 3, lines 32-50, i.e. a spring which is pressing on or being held against the empty bag wall and interior surface of the first shell. It is also noted that while Applicant has disclosed the criticality of a certain specific

Art Unit: 3761

working length versus a certain specific free length in specific configurations, see again page 49, lines 18-28, such specifics have not been claimed. Therefore, it is the Examiner's first position that 1) the Bau patent teaches all the claimed structure and function except for the limitation added to each of the independent claims, i.e. the underlined language. In any case, the Examiner's second position, 2) the Bau patent at least teaches all the claimed structure. With regard to the language of lines 1, and 7-8, i.e. "said space...therein", and the first three lines of the last section of claim 1, similar language in claims 19 and 23 and line 1 of claim 23, such language recites function, properties or capabilities of the structure recited in the claim. Again, the Bau patent includes such claimed structure including a bag 25. Therefore there is sufficient factual basis for one to conclude that the function, properties or capabilities of the claimed structure are also necessarily and inevitably present in the same structure of Bau. Regardless of whether the Bau reference teaches 1) or 2) supra, with respect to the limitation added to the independent claims, i.e. the range of movement of the platen being about a quarter of the minimum perpendicular dimension of the space. While Figures 52-55 of the instant specification show such relationship, no criticality of the specific range has been set forth. While Bau does not show such specific range of movement, see Figures 2 and 4 and col. 3, lines 32-50 and col. 5, lines 29-38 of Bau, i.e. the dimensions and distances shown by the Figures and the spring of Baupresses or exerts force throughout its range of motion, i.e. the working length of 78 is necessarily and inevitably less than free length of 78 because the spring 78 configured as taught by Bau would not press or exert force if it had reached its free length and it presses or exerts force throughout its total distance of movement. Note again col. 5, lines 34-35, i.e. Bau recognize the spring's strength would control the compression amount. Therefore, it would be obvious to one

Page 5

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ such a range of movement since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, i.e. both the claims and Bau teach the spring exerting force or pressure through its range of movement, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine experimentation or skill in the art, see In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's remarks have been noted with regard to the prior art but are deemed not persuasive. First, it is noted that the instant application has not explicitly described the invention of the scope claimed as achieving a recognized result. Second, Bau does recognize that the movement, i.e. working distance, of the platen is determined by the strength of the spring, e.g. working length range, to control or result or produce the pressure to expel fluid from the bag, see portions of Bau cited supra.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karin M. Reichle whose telephone number is (571) 272-4936. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karin M. Reichle Primary Examiner Art Unit 3761

KMR

August 27, 2005